by the national dailies on March 5, 1995 about unearthing of a major racket by the crime branch of Delhi Police involving *irter alia* stealing of 'share certificates and trading therein;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether it is a fact that several complaints about the activities of such a racket, active in Delhi and adjacent cities, have been lodged with the crime branch of Delhi Police, specifically identifying some key individuals in the racks: ,by some innocent victims long back;

(d) if so, the details thereof;

(e) whether the said complaints have been properly investigated into and ade quate action tekan in order to bring the culprits to book; if so, the details there of and if not, the reasons therefor; and

(f) the steps taken, if any, to check activities of such rackets which may be active in other cities?

THE MINISTER Op STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. M. SAYEED): (a) and (b). In February, 1995, the Crmie Branch of Delhi Police unearthed a racket in large scale trading of stolen shares of various companies involving a complex net-vrork of sub-books, computer operators and pos-men. A case under section 420/467/468' 47l!380l411|120-B IPC was registered at Police Station Connaught Place, New Delhi. Nine accused txrsons were arrest-ed and 15,479 fake/stolen shares of various companies were seized from them.

te) to (e). Two complaints regarding theft of shares and lodging of the same for transfer were received by Delhi Police, Two cases, one u/s 420!468|471-IPC at Police Station Hauz Khas, and another ll/s 420/468]47l!l20-B IPC at police station Vinay Nagar, were registered and two persons arrested.

On further interrogation, four more cases already registered at Police Station Shalimtir Bash, Connaught Place, Hauz Khas and Chittranjan Park, were worked out.

(f) Government and other concerned agencies are keeping continuous yigilance to check such criminal activities.

Follow up action on the Commissions Report on Meham incidents

4584. SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VEN-KATRAMAN: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have taken up follow up action against those named in the Meham incident on the report of Justice Sarkaria Commission of Enquiry;

(b) if so, what is the natiwe cf action taken;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor;

(d) whether it is a fact that Commission of enquiries are only formalities Co be observed in such eases, and

(e) if so, what earthly purpose has been served by the Commission, sitting for four years and submitting the report by May 31, 1994?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. M. SAYEED): (a) to (c) A Commission of Enquiry was set up under the Chairmanship of Justice K. N. Saikia a retired Judge of the Supreme Court to inquire into the Meham incident. As Per the action taken report, laid on the Table of the House on 7-12-94, the Central Government was to (a) continue monitoring of action taken by State Goy-ernmnt on the issue of Human Rights training to police officers (b) consider suitable amendment to incorporate an oath by the candidate filing a nominatioa to be fair and harmless and (c) send copy of the report to State Govemmcnt/CBI for appropriate action.

(d) and (e) Though the Commissioii was for various reasons, not able to submit its reoort within the orginally stipulated period, the report not only coft-* tains findings on the terms of reference but has also suggested administrative! legislative changes, to prevent recurrencis of such incidents.